

Index

A

- Accident reconstruction, 5-16 to 5-18
- Acting under orders, 1-14
- Admissions, pretrial request for, 5-7
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, 5-9

B

Bridges

- discretionary immunity in design of, 3-13, 3-16
- icy conditions, state duty to warn of or remedy, 2-17, 2-30 to 2-31
- median barriers, 3-16
- protective fencing, 4-6 to 4-7, 4-10
- railings, 2-29 to 2-30
- shoulder design and maintenance, 2-24
- state duty to maintain, 2-28 to 2-30
- structural defects, 2-31

C

Causation in fact

- plaintiff's burden of proof, 2-3, 2-5, 2-15
- pretrial evaluation, 5-6
- proximate cause and, 2-3
- substantial factor test, 2-3

Common law

- sovereign immunity concepts in, 1-3, 1-4, 1-7
 - discretionary activities of government and, 3-8 to 3-9
 - individual liability of employees and officers, 1-12

Contractual indemnity, 6-3

Cross claims, 6-7 to 6-8

Crossover accidents

- design immunity in, 3-16 to 3-17
- driver responsibility in, 2-5 to 2-6

D

Data collection and analysis, for trial, 5-3 to 5-4

- discovery process, 5-6 to 5-7
- use of highway safety data, 2-21, 3-23, 5-7 to 5-8
- use of standards and guidelines, 5-8 to 5-9

Depositions, pretrial, 5-7

Design immunity

- as discretionary immunity, 3-11 to 3-14
- effect of changed circumstances, 3-15 to 3-17
- preparation of defense based on, 5-4
- public duty doctrine and, 4-10
- statutory, 3-14 to 3-15

Discovery, 5-6 to 5-7, 5-8

- motion for summary judgment, 2-5

Discretionary activities of government

- duty to warn and, 2-9, 2-23, 3-19 to 3-20, 3-23

- guardrail and barrier installation as, 3-24 to 3-27
- highway design and planning as, 3-11 to 3-17
- immunity to tort action, 1-6, 1-8, 2-9
 - federal case law, 3-3 to 3-8
 - for government employees, 1-12, 1-13, 1-14 to 1-16
 - state case law, 3-8 to 3-11
- maintenance activities as, 1-15 to 1-16, 2-25, 3-17 to 3-20, 5-6
- negligence *per se* and, 5-11 to 5-12
- operational-planning distinction, 1-14 to 1-16, 2-11, 3-3, 3-4 to 3-8, 3-10 to 3-11, 3-13, 3-17 to 3-18, 3-25, 3-27
- public duty doctrine and, 4-11
- rationale for immunity in, 3-3 to 3-4, 3-9
- resource allocation as, 4-3 to 4-8
- standards of care and, 5-11
- state court interpretations of, 5-4
- statutory exemptions, 2-9
- traffic aids installation as, 2-7, 2-10, 3-24 to 3-27
- trial strategy based on concept of, 5-4, 5-6, 5-11
- vs.* ministerial activities, 1-14 to 1-16
- Driver negligence, 2-4, 2-5 to 2-6, 2-7
 - emergency doctrine, 2-21 to 2-22
 - in skidding accidents, 2-19
- Duty of reasonable care, 1-9
 - potential trial strategies based on, 5-5 to 5-6, 5-10 to 5-11
 - public duty doctrine and, 4-11
 - in shoulder design and maintenance, 2-21
 - in snow and ice control, 2-16
- Duty to act, 1-12
 - language of standards and guidelines, 5-12
 - to prevent skidding accidents, 2-18 to 2-19
 - proximate cause and, 2-6
 - public duty doctrine and, 4-9 to 4-10
 - repair of pavement defects, 2-12 to 2-13
 - snow and ice control, 2-13 to 2-15, 2-16, 2-30 to 2-31
- Duty to warn, 1-9 to 1-12
 - of bridge conditions, 2-28 to 2-29, 2-31
 - discretionary immunity and, 2-9, 2-23, 3-19 to 3-20, 3-23
 - installation of signs and markings
 - placement of signage, 2-9 to 2-10, 3-25 to 3-26
 - state obligation, 2-7 to 2-9
 - to prevent skidding accidents, 2-19, 2-30 to 2-31
 - of shoulder conditions, 2-24 to 2-25
 - of snow and ice hazards, 2-14 to 2-15, 2-30 to 2-31
 - See also* Warning of danger

E

- Emergency doctrine, 2-21 to 2-22
- Equitable indemnity, 6-7 to 6-8
- Evidence rules
 - on accident reconstruction, 5-16 to 5-18
 - on accident simulations, 5-17
 - admissibility of standards or guidelines, 5-9 to 5-13
 - discovery phase, 5-6 to 5-7

- motion for summary judgment, 2-4 to 2-5
- on post-accident remedial measures, 5-14 to 5-15
- on prior accidents at accident site, 5-13 to 5-14
- proof of resource allocation decisions in claim of discretionary immunity, 4-5
- use of highway safety data, 2-21, 3-23, 5-7 to 5-8
- See also* Expert testimony

Expert testimony, 5-15 to 5-16

- from law enforcement personnel, 5-15, 5-17 to 5-18
- preparation for trial, 5-6, 5-7
- in proving causation, 2-5, 2-7

F

Federal government

- discretionary function exemption, 3-3 to 3-8
- funds for highway construction and maintenance, 2-20, 5-9
- rules for highway construction and maintenance, 2-20 to 2-21
- See also specific legislation*

Federal-Aid Highway Act, 2-20 to 2-21

Ferry operations, 1-5

Foreseeability, 2-4, 2-6

G

Government

- immunity to tort action, 1-4 to 1-5
- personal liability of employees and officials of, 1-12 to 1-17
- proprietary functions *vs.* governmental functions, 1-5 to 1-6
- waiver of immunity, 1-4, 1-5

See also Federal government; State government

Grade elevation of highway, 1-15

Guardrails and similar barriers

- on bridges, 2-29 to 2-30
- crossover accidents, 2-5 to 2-6, 3-16 to 3-17
- design immunity, 3-16 to 3-17
- driver responsibility in accidents involving, 2-6
- failure to erect or maintain
 - immunity to tort liability arising from, 1-8, 1-10 to 1-11, 3-20 to 3-24, 4-3 to 4-4
 - to prevent skidding accidents, 2-20
 - resource allocation defense, 4-6

H

Highway agencies/transportation departments

- duty to public, 1-9 to 1-11
 - pavement maintenance, 2-12 to 2-13
 - to prevent skidding accidents, 2-18 to 2-19
 - snow and ice control, 2-13 to 2-15
- duty to warn, 2-7 to 2-9
- immunity to tort action, 1-5, 1-6, 1-7 to 1-8
 - governmental functions defense, 1-6, 1-8
- individual liability of employees and officers, 1-12 to 1-14, 1-18
- public duty doctrine applicability, 4-9 to 4-11
- resource allocation exemption from liability, 4-3 to 4-8
- response to notice of hazardous conditions, 1-11 to 1-12, 2-5, 2-6, 2-12, 2-13, 5-5
- use of federal funds, 2-20

Highway defect statutes, 1-9
Highway planning, construction, and maintenance
 compliance with federal statutes, 2-20
 as discretionary activity, 1-15
 guardrail and barrier decisions, 3-20 to 3-24
 maintenance activities, 3-17 to 3-20
 project design and planning, 3-10, 3-11 to 3-17
 traffic control decisions, 3-24 to 3-27
 drop-off between pavement and shoulder, 2-22 to 2-23
 duty to public in, 1-9 to 1-11, 2-13
 duty to warn, 2-9 to 2-12
 installation of traffic aids, 2-7 to 2-8
 immunity to tort action in, 1-6 to 1-7, 1-8
 effect of changed circumstances, 3-15 to 3-17
 inspection activities, 1-10
 as ministerial activity, 1-15 to 1-16
 pavement surface defects, 2-12 to 2-13, 3-19, 4-4 to 4-5, 4-6
 to prevent skidding accidents, 2-18 to 2-20
 proving defects in, as cause of injury, 2-3 to 2-4, 2-5 to 2-7, 2-12 to 2-13
 rural roads, 1-10, 2-14
 shoulders and adjacent areas, 1-11, 2-13, 2-21 to 2-25
 traffic light installation and maintenance, 2-9 to 2-10
 trees in right-of-way, 2-25 to 2-27
 See also Traffic control devices and markings
Highway Safety Act, 2-20 to 2-21

I

Indemnification
 of public employees, 1-17
 of transportation department in contracts, 6-3
Individual liability of state employees and officials, 1-12 to 1-17
 discretionary activity exemption, 1-15 to 1-16, 3-3, 3-6, 3-7
Insurance, liability, 1-6
 public duty doctrine and, 4-9
 as waiver of immunity, 6-3 to 6-4
Interrogatories, 5-6

L

Legal cause. *See* Proximate cause

M

Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2-7
Ministerial activities of government, 1-14 to 1-16, 3-9, 3-10
Municipal government immunity to tort suit, 1-6

N

Negligence
 conceptual basis of tort liability, 1-3
 per se, 5-11 to 5-13

O

Omission, 3-25
 as causation in fact, 2-3

as proximate cause, 2-4

P

Pavement markings. *See* Traffic control devices and markings

Pedestrian traffic, 1-8

Pre-suit notice requirement, 5-3

Proprietary functions of government, 1-5 to 1-6

Protection from tort liability, 6-3 to 6-5

Proximate cause

admissibility of expert opinion on, 5-17

bridge railing conditions as, 2-30

burden of proof, 2-3, 2-4 to 2-7

causation in fact and, 2-3

definition, 2-3, 2-4

duty to act and, 2-6

elements of, 2-4

failure to respond to notice of hazard as, 2-6

pavement defect as, 2-12

resurfacing of road shoulder as, 2-23

violation of safety standards as, 2-6 to 2-7

Public duty doctrine, 4-8 to 4-11

Public employees and officials

acting under orders, 1-14

defense against tortious action, 1-14 to 1-16

discretionary activities of, 1-15 to 1-16, 3-3, 3-6, 3-7

individual liability of, 1-12 to 1-17

public duty defense, 4-8 to 4-11

ministerial activities of, 1-14 to 1-16

supervisory personnel, tort liability of, 1-13 to 1-14

waiver of immunity laws for, 1-13 to 1-14

Punitive damages, 6-5

R

Railroad crossing design, 1-7, 1-15, 3-10 to 3-11, 4-4, 5-8

discretionary immunity in, 3-23

Resource allocation exemption from liability, 4-3 to 4-8

evidentiary support, 4-5

financial feasibility defense, 4-5 to 4-6

pretrial investigation, 5-6

priority of projects as defense, 4-6 to 4-7

rationale, 4-3

workload defense, 4-7 to 4-8

Respondeat superior, 1-6, 1-13 to 1-14

Rural roads, 1-10, 2-14

S

Separation of powers, 1-12, 3-3

Shoulders and adjacent areas

drop-off between pavement and, 2-22 to 2-23

highway maintenance duty, 1-11, 2-21 to 2-25

lawful use, 2-21 to 2-22

obstacles in, 2-24

surface defects in, 2-23 to 2-24

Sidewalks, 2-18 to 2-19

Signage. *See* Traffic control devices and markings

Simulations of accident, 5-17

Skidding accidents, wet weather, 2-15

roadway surface materials and, 2-19

state duty to prevent, 2-14 to 2-15, 2-18 to 2-19

federal requirements and, 2-20 to 2-21

maintenance activities in, 2-19 to 2-20

state duty to warn of danger of, 2-17

See also Snow and ice control

Snow and ice control

application of salt/chemicals, 2-15 to 2-16, 2-18, 2-30 to 2-31

damage to adjoining properties caused by, 2-17 to 2-18

liability of public employee acting under orders, 1-14, 1-15 to 1-16

standards of care, 2-15 to 2-16

state duty to maintain bridges, 2-17, 2-30 to 2-31

state duty to public, 2-13 to 2-14, 2-16

statutory provisions, 2-16 to 2-17

warning of hazardous conditions, 2-14 to 2-15, 2-17

See also Skidding accidents, wet weather

Sovereign immunity

conceptual basis, 1-3

damage to private property during state acts, 2-17 to 2-18

in discretionary activities of government, 1-6, 1-8, 2-9

federal case law, 3-3 to 3-8

rationale, 3-3

governmental immunity and, 1-4 to 1-5

historical development of legal concepts, 1-3 to 1-8

individual liability of public employees and officers, 1-12 to 1-17

potential trial strategies based on, 5-4, 5-5, 5-6

public duty doctrine and, 4-8, 4-9, 4-11

right of contribution among joint tortfeasors and, 6-7

waiver of. *See* Waiver of immunity

Standard of care, 5-10 to 5-11

Standards and guidelines

federal safety requirements, 2-20 to 2-21, 5-9

guardrail and barrier installation and maintenance, 3-20 to 3-21

pretrial review, 5-5

to prevent skidding accidents, 2-19

shoulder design, 2-21

snow and ice removal, 2-15 to 2-16

use in trial, 5-8 to 5-13

expert testimony on, 5-15 to 5-16

violation of

as negligence *per se*, 5-11 to 5-13

as proximate cause of injury, 2-6 to 2-7

State government

design immunity statutes, 3-14 to 3-15

discretionary function exemption of, case law on, 3-8 to 3-11

duty to the public, 1-9 to 1-11

highway defect statutes, 1-9

immunity to tort action, 1-3 to 1-4, 1-5

of employees and officers, 1-13 to 1-16

- governmental functions defense, 1-5 to 1-6
 - legislative trends, 1-7
 - waiver of, 1-6 to 1-9
 - recognition of right of contribution among joint tortfeasors, 6-5 to 6-7
 - support for accused employees of, 1-16 to 1-17
 - tort claims acts, 1-8 to 1-9, 1-17
 - See also* Government
 - Subrogation, 6-8 to 6-9
 - Substantial factor test, 2-3
 - Summary judgment, 2-3, 2-4 to 2-5, 5-4
 - expert testimony and, 2-5, 2-7
 - Supervisory personnel
 - liability for acts of employees, 1-13 to 1-14
 - Supreme Court/Constitutional law
 - discretionary function exemption cases, 3-3, 3-8, 3-11 to 3-12
 - on expert testimony, 5-15 to 5-16
 - sovereign immunity issues, 1-4
- T
- Tort Claims Act, 1-8, 6-4
 - discretionary function exemptions in, 3-3 to 3-8, 3-12
 - Tort claims statutes, state, 1-3, 1-8 to 1-9, 1-17
 - discretionary function exemptions, case law on, 3-8 to 3-11
 - pre-suit notice requirement, 5-3
 - resource allocation exemption from liability, 4-3
 - weather immunity provisions, 2-18, 2-31
 - Traffic control devices and markings, 1-15
 - duty to install, 2-7 to 2-9, 2-11 to 2-12, 3-24
 - negligence *per se* in management of, 5-12 to 5-13
 - pavement markings, 2-11 to 2-12
 - placement of warning signs, 2-9 to 2-10
 - preparation for trial based on defects of, 5-5 to 5-6
 - proving defects in, as cause of injury, 2-4, 2-5, 2-6, 2-7
 - for shoulders, 2-24 to 2-25
 - state immunity to tort liability
 - discretionary activity exemption, 3-18 to 3-19, 3-24 to 3-27
 - for failure to establish, 1-7 to 1-8
 - traffic light installation and maintenance, 2-10 to 2-11, 3-10, 3-24 to 3-25
 - use of up-to-date technology in, 2-8
 - See also* Warning of danger
 - Traffic lights. *See* Traffic control devices and markings
 - Transportation departments. *See* Highway agencies/transportation departments
 - Trees, shrubs or vegetation
 - duty to maintain roadway visibility, 2-27 to 2-28
 - highway maintenance duty, 1-11, 2-25
 - in shoulder or adjacent areas, 2-24, 2-25 to 2-27, 4-10
 - Trial strategies and techniques
 - discovery, 5-6 to 5-7
 - equitable indemnity among joint tortfeasors, 6-7 to 6-8
 - investigation of claim, 5-3 to 5-4
 - limitations on recovery, 6-5 to 6-6
 - presentation of case, 5-18
 - pre-suit notice, 5-3

- review of possible defenses, 5-4 to 5-6
- shifting liability among joint tortfeasors, 6-5 to 6-7
- subrogation, 6-8 to 6-9
- use of standards and guidelines, 5-8 to 5-13
- See also* Evidence rules

U

Uniform Contribution Among Tortfeasors Act, 6-6

U.S. Code

- title 23, § 109, 5-8 to 5-9
- title 23, § 152, 2-21, 5-8
- title 23, § 409, 2-20, 2-21, 3-23, 5-7 to 5-8
- title 23, § 402(a), 2-20, 2-21, 5-9
- title 28, § 2671, 3-3
- title 28, § 2680, 3-3, 3-6

W

Waiver of immunity, 1-4, 1-5

- discretionary activities exception, 3-9 to 3-10
- highway defect statutes, 1-9
- individual liability of public employees and officers, 1-13 to 1-14
- insurance purchase as, 6-3 to 6-4
- judicial, 1-7, 1-8 to 1-9
- legislative, 1-7, 1-8 to 1-9
- tort claims acts, 1-8 to 1-9

Warning of danger

- bridge conditions, 2-17, 2-28 to 2-29, 2-30 to 2-31
- definition of dangerous condition, 2-9 to 2-10
- discretionary immunity for failure to advise of, 3-25 to 3-26
- in hazardous weather conditions, 2-14 to 2-15
- in maintenance of shoulder areas, 2-24 to 2-25
- to prevent skidding accidents, 2-17, 2-19, 2-30 to 2-31
- state response to notice of hazardous conditions, 1-11 to 1-12, 2-5, 5-5
 - adequacy of signage, 2-5, 2-6 to 2-7, 2-8
 - statutory obligations, 2-8
- See also* Duty to warn; Traffic control devices and markings

Weather immunity, 2-18, 2-31

Wet weather. *See* Skidding accidents, wet weather

Index of Cases

A

Adams v. City of Tenakee Springs, 4-7
Aguehounde v. District of Columbia, 3-10, 3-18
Alexander v. Eldred, 3-27
Alexandria Accident of February 8, 1994, In re, 1-15
Allied Mutual Ins. Co. v. Director of N.D. Dept. of Transp., 6-9
Allyson v. Dept. of Transp., 2-14
Alvarez v. State, 3-15
Anderson v. Ohio Dept. of Ins., 4-7
Archon v. Union Pac. R.R., 3-23
Arizona State Highway Dept. v. Bechtold, 2-10 to 2-11
Arno v. State, 2-24
Askew v. Miller Mutual Fire Insurance Co., 6-4
Aucoin v. State, 2-13

B

Baker v. Wayne County Bd. of Road Comm'rs., 2-24
Baldwin v. State, 3-15
Barnes v. General Motors Corp., 5-16 to 5-17
Bauman v. Volkswagenwerk, A.G., 5-17
Beaver v. Pelet, 6-7
Beers v. Arkansas, 1-4
Bellard v. South Central Bell Telephone Co., 2-29
Bellino v. Village of Lake in Hills, 2-17
Berkovitz v. United States, 3-6 to 3-7
Berliner v. Kacov, 6-8
Bernard v. State ex. rel. Dept. of Transp. & Development, 6-6
Bjorkquist v. City of Robbinsdale, 3-25
Board of Comm'rs of County of Steuben v. Hout, 3-26
Bodin v. City of Stanwood, 4-3
Bottalico v. State, 2-22
Bowman v. Gunnells, 2-11
Brandon v. State, 2-23
Breed v. Shaner, 3-12
Brown v. Louisiana Department of Highways, 2-23
Brummerloh v. Fireman's Insurance Co., 2-24
Buskey v. State, 2-5 to 2-6
Byorth v. District Court, 4-6

C

Callahan v. San Francisco, 2-10
Cameron v. State, 2-10
Capo v. State Dept. of Transp., 5-14
Carpenter v. Johnson, 3-26
Childers v. Phelps County, 2-5, 5-17
Chisholm v. Georgia, 1-4
Chowdhury v. Los Angeles, 2-9
City of Crown Point v. Rutherford, 4-5
City of Petersburg v. Collom, 3-14

Clary v. Polk County, 2-20
Claspill v. Missouri Pacific R. Co., 5-7 to 5-8
Coakley v. State, 2-19
Commercial Carrier Corporation v. Indian River County, 3-10
Comm'n, Dept. of Transp. v. Weller, 5-10
Commonwealth, Dept. of Highways v. Automobile Club Ins. Co., 2-9
Cope v. Scott, 3-19
Costa v. Josey, 3-19
Cruz v. New York, 4-4, 4-5

D

Dalehite v. United States, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-7, 3-8, 3-11, 3-18
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 5-15, 5-16, 5-18
Daugherty v. Oregon State Highway Com., 2-31
Davenport v. Borough of Closter, 2-15
Davidson v. City of Westminster, 4-9
Davis v. Cleveland, 3-25
Dawley v. New York State, 2-11
Daye v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 2-20, 2-21
Dean v. Commonwealth Department of Transportation, 1-8
Department of Transportation v. Neilson, 3-25
Diamond v. State, 2-26
Dillenbeck v. Los Angeles, 5-11
Draskowich v. Kansas City, 2-17
Duran v. City of Tucson, 4-11
DuPree v. Commonwealth, 1-13
Durett v. State, 2-12

E

Elmer v. Kratzer, 2-7
Estate of Arrowwood v. State, 4-4
Estate of Klaus v. Michigan State Highway Department, 2-31
Evangelical United Brethren Church of Adna v. State, 3-9 to 3-10

F

Flournay v. State, 2-31
Fonseca v. State, 1-5
Ford v. South Carolina Dept. of Transp., 2-26
Foss v. Maine Turnpike Auth., 2-18
Frazer v. County of Sonoma, 3-25
Freeman v. State, 4-7
Fretwell v. Chaffin, 2-28
Frye v. United States, 5-15 to 5-16

G

Gaines v. Long Island State Park Com., 1-12
General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 5-16
Genkinger v. Jefferson, 4-10
Georgia Depart. of Transp. v. Cannady, 4-4 to 4-5
German v. Kansas City, 2-11
Gonzales v. Hollins, 3-27
Gorelick v. Michigan Dept. of State Highways & Transp., 5-17
Goren v. United States Fire Ins. Co., 5-17

Gregorio v. City of New York, 3-22
Grenier v. City of Irwindale, 3-16
Grubaugh v. St. Johns, 5-11
Guilbeau v. St. Landry Parish Police Jury, 4-4
Guillory v. Domtar Indus., Inc., 5-16 to 5-17
Gutbrod v. County of Hennepin, 4-4
Guyotte v. State, 2-21, 2-22

H

Habecker v. Clark Equip. Co., 5-16 to 5-17
Hale v. Aetna Casualty and Surety Co., 2-23
Hall v. Burns, 4-7
Hall v. State, 3-13
Hamilton v. Cannon, 4-8 to 4-9
Hansmann v. County of Gospel, 2-31
Harrington v. Chicago and Northwestern Transp. Co., 1-7
Harryman v. Hayles, 4-10
Hensley v. Montgomery County, 1-10
Hobbs v. Jeyte, 3-11
Hollingsworth v. Bovaird Supply Co., 5-17
Horan v. State, 2-16 to 2-17
Hubbard v. Estate of Havlik, 2-25
Hudson v. East Montpelier, 4-9
Hughes v. State, 2-19
Hunt v. State, 2-30
Hurst v. Board of Comm'rs of Pulaski County, 2-28
Husovsky v. United States, 2-26

I

Indian Towing Co. v. United States, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-7, 3-8, 3-18

J

Jackson v. Fletcher, 5-17
Japan Air Lines, Co. Ltd. v. State, 3-13
Jekofsky v. State Roads Comm., 1-6
Johnson v. County of Nicollet, 6-4
Johnson v. State, 3-9
Jones v. Louisiana Department of Highways, 2-13

K

Kaatz v. State, 2-15
Katz v. City of New York, 2-12
Kee v. State Highway Admin., 6-4
Keene v. Bierman, 4-10
Kelley v. Broce Construction Co., 1-12
Kerns v. State, 5-14
King v. State, 3-25
Knudsen v. Hall, 4-10 to 4-11
Kolitch v. Lindedahl, 3-27
Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael, 5-16, 5-18

L

Lake City Juvenile Court v. Swanson, 4-5

Lawson v. Estate of McDonald, 1-12
Lee v. State ex rel. Depart. of Transp. & Dev., 3-26
Lewis v. Ohio, 2-25
Lopez v. Elizabeth, 4-3, 4-5
Lorig v. Mission, 2-28

M

Mackie v. Grand Trunk Western R.R., Co., 5-8
Macon County Comm'n v. Sanders, 5-14
Manion v. State Highway Comm'n, 1-5
Maresh v. State, 2-24
Mayle v. Pennsylvania Dept. of Highways, 1-7
McCluskey v. Handorff-Sherman, 4-5, 4-6
McDaniel v. Southern Railway Co., 2-30
McEwen v. Burlington N.R.R. Co., 3-23
McLain v. State, 1-7
McMillan v. Michigan State Highway Comm'n., 2-6
Meta v. Cherry Hill, 2-15
Miller v. Bailey, 5-8
Miller v. County of Oakland, 2-26
Modrell v. State, 4-6
Mora v. State, 2-12

N

Naulty v. State, 2-21 to 2-22
Neteke v. State, 2-22
Nevins v. Ohio Dept. of Highways, 2-6 to 2-7
Norris v. State, 2-25

O

Osborn v. Lawson, 1-14

P

Paddock v. Tuscola & S. B. R. Co., 2-27
Peavler v. Board of Comm'rs of Monroe County, 3-26
People ex rel. Dept. of Transp. v. Superior Court of Los Angeles, 6-8
Prybysz v. Spokane, 2-29

R

Reid v. Hogansville, 1-15
Reynolds v. City of New York, 2-20
Rick v. Department of Transp. and Dev., 3-17
Rick v. State Department of Transp. & Dev., 3-10 to 3-11
Rickerson v. State of New Mexico and City of Roswell, 2-6
Rinaldi v. State, 1-11
Ring v. State, 3-26
Robinson v. Missouri Pac. R.R., 5-17
Rodriguez v. County of Suffolk, 2-17
Rogers v. State, 2-11
Rolando v. Department of Transp., 2-21, 2-22
Ross v. State, 2-13
Rue v. State, Department of Highways, 2-22

S

Salas v. Palm Beach Board of County Comm'rs., 5-15
Salvati v. Department of State Highways, 2-8
Sanchez v. Lippincott, 2-27
Sawyer v. Illinois C.G.R. Co., 3-23
Scheemaker v. State, 5-12
Schuerman v. DOT, 2-27
Scovell v. TRK Trans., Inc., 6-7
Semadeni v. Ohio Dept. of Transportation, 4-6 to 4-7
Shortridge v. Ohio Dept. of Public Safety, 2-5
Sipes v. DOT, 2-27
Smith v. Cooper, 6-4
Smith v. State, 1-8
Spencer v. General Hospital of the District of Columbia, 1-6
Squadrito v. State, 2-4
State, Dept. of Transportation v. Vega, 3-20
State Dept. of Transp. v. Hoffman, 5-16
State ex rel. Byorth v. District Court, 4-6
State v. Abbott, 3-18
State v. Friedman, 3-16 to 3-17
State v. Guinn, 1-12
State v. I'Anson, 2-11
State v. Livengood, 3-22
State v. Thompson, 6-3
Stewart v. State, 3-13
Stone v. Arizona Highway Com., 1-6
Summer v. Carpenter, 3-12
Suttles v. State, Dept. of Transp., 1-8
Sutton v. Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Trans. Dist., 3-16
Sziber v. Stout, 6-6

T

Tanguma v. Yakima County, 2-8
Taylor-Rice v. State, 5-13 to 5-14
Terranella v. Honolulu, 2-22
Texas Dept. of Transp. v. Dorman, 2-13
Texas Dept. of Transportation v. Jordon, 2-18
Townsend v. State, 4-6
Trautman v. State, 4-6
Tromblee v. State, 1-12
Trujillo v. Utah Dept. of Transp., 3-11
Tseu ex rel. Hobbs v. Jeyte, 3-11
Tulewicz v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Agency, 6-5

U

United States v. Gaubert, 1-15, 3-3, 3-7, 3-10, 3-11, 3-12, 3-17 to 3-18, 3-19, 3-21, 3-23, 4-7, 5-4
United States v. Varig Air Lines, 3-5 to 3-6, 3-7

V

Valvoline Oil Co. v. Winthrop, 2-27
Viet v. State, 2-18 to 2-19
Voit v. Allen County, 4-5

W

Watson v. Dept. of Transp., 6-8

Weiss v. Fote, 3-8, 3-10, 3-13 to 3-14

Westfall v. Erwin, 3-7

Wooten v. S.C. Dept. of Transp., 5-11

Wright v. United States, 3-12

Y

Young v. City of Gretna, 2-13

Young v. Commonwealth, Dept. of Transp., 5-12

Z

Zecca v. State, 2-7